1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	DAVID MATTHIESEN, ET AL.,	Case No. EDCV 16-661-DMG (KK)
11	Plaintiff(s),	
12	V.	ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS
13	PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC	AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
14	COMPANY, ET AL.,	JUDGE
15	Defendant(s).	
16		
17	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Third Amended	
18	Complaint, the relevant records on file, and the Report and Recommendation of the	
19	United States Magistrate Judge.	
20	On July 9, 2018, Plaintiffs filed Objections to the Report and	
21	Recommendation attaching various unauthenticated exhibits. Dkt. 193. On July	
22	23, 2018, Defendant filed a Response to Plaintiffs' Objections. Dkt. 196.	
23	The Court has engaged in de novo review of those portions of the Report to	
24	which Plaintiffs have objected. The Court finds (1) the Objections fail to address	
25	the Court's recommendation granting Defendants' Motion to Terminating	
26	Sanctions; and (2) the exhibits attached to the Objections do not support Plaintiffs'	
27	Opposition to summary judgment because they are (a) either untimely or	
28	duplicative of Plaintiffs' submissions in support of their Opposition to Defendant's	

1	Motion for Summary Judgment, (b) inadmissible lay opinion, and (c) fail to	
2	establish causation even if considered on the merits. Therefore, the Court accepts	
3	the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.	
4	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that (1) Defendant's Motions for	
5	Terminating Sanctions are GRANTED; (2) Defendant's Motion for Summary	
6	Judgment is GRANTED; and (3) Judgment shall be entered DISMISSING this	
7	action with prejudice.	
8	Datadi Santanahan 29, 2019	
9	Dated: September 28, 2018	
10	HONORABLE DOLLY M. GEE United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2425		
26		
27		